

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/664,652 | 09/19/2003 | Altony Armstrong | 320 | 4620 | |
| 7590 06/24/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| JOHN O.MINGLE | | | WATTS, DOUGLAS D | | |
| P. O. Box 1311 | | | | | |
| Laramie, WY 82073-1311 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3724 | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1. |
|---------------|
| v |
| $\overline{}$ |

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/664,652 | ARMSTRONG, ALTONY | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Douglas D. Watts | 3724 | | |

| D. Com the Elliss of the A. I. D. C. | 10/004,032 | ARIVISTRONG, ALT | ONT | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Douglas D. Watts | 3724 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | RST REPLT WAS FILE | D WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal (| of the appeal. | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | because | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.) | | I E Delow); | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • • | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a | • | ejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | * ** | : | (DTOL 204) | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | ompliant Amendmen | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendn | nent canceling | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-5,7-9,11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida | Notice of Appeal will good wit or other evidence | not be entered is necessary | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarian. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | ils to provide a (1). | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the contract of the co | on of the status of the claims after o | entry is below or atta | ched. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | in condition for allowa | ance because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: | • | No(s) | | | | |
| | | DOUGLAS D. WATTS PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the claim is not obviously allowable and is in a new form and is terefor a new issue.